API Design

CPSC 315 – Programming Studio

Follows Kernighan and Pike, *The Practice of Programming* and Joshua Bloch’s Library-Centric Software Design '05 Keynote Talk: "How to Design a Good API and Why It Matters"

Why is API Design Important?

- **Company View**
  - Can be asset – big user investment in learning and using
  - Bad design can be source of long-term support problems
- **Once used, it's tough to change**
  - Especially if there are several users
- **Public APIs – One chance to get it right**

Characteristics of Good APIs

- Easy to learn
- Easy to use even without documentation
- Hard to misuse
- Easy to read and maintain code that uses it
- Sufficiently powerful to satisfy requirements
- Easy to extend
- Appropriate to audience
Designing an API

- Gather requirements
  - Don’t gather solutions
  - Extract true requirements
  - Collect specific scenarios where it will be used

- Create short specification
  - Consult with users to see whether it works
  - Flesh it out over time

- Hints:
  - Write plugins/use examples before fully designed and implemented
  - Expect it to evolve

1. Interface Principles

- Simple
- General
- Regular
- Predictable
- Robust
- Adaptable

Simple

- Users have to understand!
- Do one thing and do it well
  - Functionality should be easy to explain
- As small as possible, but never smaller
  - *Conceptual weight* more important than providing all functionality
  - Avoid long parameter lists
- Choose small set of orthogonal primitives
  - Don’t provide 3 ways to do the same thing

Broad Issues to Consider in Design

- 1. Interface
  - The classes, methods, parameters, names
- 2. Resource Management
  - How is memory, other resources dealt with
- 3. Error Handling
  - What errors are caught and what is done
- Information Hiding
  - How much detail is exposed
  - Impacts all three of the above
General

- Implementation can change, API can’t
- Hide Information!
  - Don’t let implementation detail leak into API
  - Minimize accessibility issues (e.g. private classes and members)
  - Implementation details can confuse users
- Be aware of what is implementation
  - Don’t overspecify behavior of modules
  - Tuning parameters are suspect

Regular

- Do the same thing the same way everywhere
  - Related things should be achieved by related means
    - Consistent parameter ordering, required inputs
    - Functionality (return types, errors, resource management)
- Names matter
  - Self explanatory
  - Consistent across API
- Same word means same thing in API
- Same naming style used
- Consistent with related interfaces outside the API

Predictable

- Don’t violate the principle of Least Astonishment
  - User should not be surprised by behavior
  - Even if this costs performance
- Don’t reach behind the user’s back
  - Accessing and modifying global variables
  - Secret files or information written
  - Be careful about static variables

Predictable

- Try to minimize use of other interfaces
  - Make as self-contained as possible
  - Be explicit about external services required
- Document!
  - Every class, method, interface, constructor, parameter, exception
  - When states are kept, this should be very clearly documented
Robust

- Able to deal with unexpected input
- Error Handling (see later)

Adaptable

- API can be extended, but never shortened
  - Heavily used APIs likely will be extended
- Information Hiding
  - Implementation details should not affect API

2. Resource Management

- Determine which side is responsible for
  - Initialization
  - Maintaining state
  - Sharing and copying
  - Cleaning up
- Various resources
  - Memory
  - Files
  - Global variables

Resource Management

- Generally, free resources where they were allocated
- Return references or copies?
  - Can have huge performance and ease of use impact
- Multi-threaded code makes this especially critical
  - Reentrant: works regardless of number of simultaneous executions
  - Avoid using anything (globals, static locals, other modifications) that others could also use
  - Locks can be important
3. Error Handling

- Catch errors, don’t ignore them
- “Print message and fail” is not always good
  - Especially in APIs
  - Need to allow programs to recover or save data
- Detect at low level, but handle at high level
  - Generally, error should be handled by calling routine
  - The callee can leave things in a “nice” state for recovery, though
    - Keep things usable in case the caller can recover

Fail Fast

- Report as soon as an error occurs
- Sometimes even at compile time!
  - Use of static types, generics

Error Management

- Return values
  - Should be in form the calling function can use
  - Return as much useful information as possible
  - *Sentinel* values only work if function cannot return all possible values of that type
  - Define pairs, or return another parameter to indicate errors
- Use error “wrapper function” if needed
  - Consistent way of marking, reporting error status
  - Encourages use
  - But, can add complexity

Exceptions

- Generally indicate a programming error
- Programming construct
  - Set exception value (e.g. as return)
  - Other program operation when exception thrown
    - Exceptions usually in global registry
- Include information about failure
  - For repair and debugging
- Exceptions should generally be unchecked
  - Automatically process globally, rather than require explicit checks over and over
Exceptions

• Only use in truly exceptional situations
  − Never use as a control structure
  − The modern GOTO

• Never use exceptions for expected return values
  − e.g. Invalid file name passed to library is “common”, not an exception