

# Programming Languages — CPSC 604, spring 2009

## Assignment 1

January 28, 2009

### General rules

You can return your answers via *CSNet*, or **preferably** bring your answers to class. Hand-written answers are fine, answers typeset with, say,  $\text{\LaTeX}$  are even finer. This is a perfect excuse to learn  $\text{\LaTeX}$  if you do not know it already. If you submit via CSNet, submit just one file `answers.pdf`. If you really insist, `answers.doc` is OK. Returning your answer late has a penalty, computed as follows:  $(0, 24h]$  late, penalty is 5%;  $(24h, 48h]$  late, penalty is 10%;  $(48h, 72h]$  late, penalty is 20%;  $(72h, 96h]$  late, penalty is 40%;  $(96h, \infty)$  late, penalty is 100%. Deadline is announced in class and/or on the class web pages.

**Enjoy!**

### Assignment

1. Consider the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 1** (Consensus among Aggies). *For any set of Aggies wearing a T-shirt, all Aggies in that set wear a T-shirt of the same color.*

Is either of the two attempted proofs below correct? Explain what is wrong with Attempt 2.

*Attempt 1.* They all wear Maroon anyway, thus the conjecture follows. □

*Attempt 2.* By induction on the number of Aggies ( $n$ ) in the set,  $n \geq 0$ .  $P(n) \doteq$  “For any set of  $n$  Aggies wearing a T-shirt, all Aggies in that set wear a T-shirt of the same color.”

*Base cases:*  $n = 0, n = 1$ . Trivial.

*Induction step:* Consider the set  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}\}$  of  $n + 1$  Aggies, for  $n \geq 1$ . Let's denote the color of the T-shirt of Aggie  $a_i$  as  $C(a_i)$ . Let  $A' = A \setminus \{a_{n+1}\}$ . By induction hypothesis  $P(|A'|)$ , and thus  $C(a_1) = C(a_n)$ . Let  $A'' = A \setminus \{a_1\}$ . By induction hypothesis  $P(|A''|)$ , and thus  $C(a_n) = C(a_{n+1})$ . As  $a_n \in A'$  and  $a_n \in A''$ , the color of the T-shirts in both sets  $A'$  and  $A''$  is the same. Since  $A = A' \cup A''$ , this is also the color of all T-shirts in  $A$ . □

2. Consider the language  $\mathcal{NB}$  we used in class:

$t ::=$	$v$ $\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ $\text{succ } t$ $\text{pred } t$ $\text{iszero } t$	<i>terms:</i> <i>value</i> <i>conditional</i> <i>successor</i> <i>predecessor</i> <i>test for zero</i>
$v ::=$	$\text{true}$ $\text{false}$ $nv$	<i>values:</i> <i>constant true</i> <i>constant false</i> <i>numeric value</i>
$nv ::=$	$0$ $\text{succ } nv$	<i>numeric values:</i> <i>zero value</i> <i>successor value</i>

and its evaluation relation:

$\text{E-VALUE}$	$\text{E-ISZEROZERO}$	$\text{E-ISZEROSUCC}$	$\text{E-PREDZERO}$	$\text{E-PREDSUCC}$
$v \Downarrow v$	$\frac{t \Downarrow 0}{\text{iszero } t \Downarrow \text{true}}$	$\frac{t \Downarrow \text{succ } nv}{\text{iszero } t \Downarrow \text{false}}$	$\frac{t \Downarrow 0}{\text{pred } t \Downarrow 0}$	$\frac{t \Downarrow \text{succ } nv}{\text{pred } t \Downarrow nv}$
	$\text{E-SUCC}$			
	$\frac{t \Downarrow nv}{\text{succ } t \Downarrow \text{succ } nv}$			
	$\text{E-IFTRUE}$		$\text{E-IFFALSE}$	
	$\frac{t_1 \Downarrow \text{true} \quad t_2 \Downarrow v_2}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \Downarrow v_2}$		$\frac{t_1 \Downarrow \text{false} \quad t_3 \Downarrow v_3}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \Downarrow v_3}$	

Draw the derivation trees for the evaluation of the terms:

- (a)  $\text{if } (\text{iszero } (\text{succ } 0)) \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{succ } 0$
- (b)  $\text{pred if iszero } 0 \text{ then } (\text{succ } 0) \text{ else } 0$

Write a term

- (a) that contains `iszero` and gets stuck (that is, does not evaluate to any term)
- (b) that contains an `if` statement and gets stuck

Write a term that does not get stuck, but contains, as subterms, one or both of the terms you wrote for the previous exercise (that do get stuck).

3. Consider the language  $\mathcal{B}$  which we defined in class, consisting of booleans and a conditional expression. Replace the rules B-IFTRUE and B-IFFALSE with the following rules:

$\text{B-IFTRUE}'$	$\text{B-IFFALSE}'$
$\frac{t_1 \Downarrow \text{true} \quad t_2 \Downarrow t'_2 \quad t_3 \Downarrow t'_3}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \Downarrow t'_2}$	$\frac{t_1 \Downarrow \text{false} \quad t_2 \Downarrow t'_2 \quad t_3 \Downarrow t'_3}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \Downarrow t'_3}$

Does the evaluation relation stay the same? Prove or disprove.

4. Consider the language  $\mathcal{NB}$  and apply the same modifications as in exercise 3 to  $\mathcal{NB}$ ; that is, replace the rules E-IFTRUE and E-IFFALSE with the following rules:

$$\frac{\text{E-IFTRUE}' \quad t_1 \Downarrow \mathbf{true} \quad t_2 \Downarrow v_2 \quad t_3 \Downarrow v_3}{\mathbf{if } t_1 \mathbf{ then } t_2 \mathbf{ else } t_3 \Downarrow v_2} \qquad \frac{\text{E-IFFALSE}' \quad t_1 \Downarrow \mathbf{false} \quad t_2 \Downarrow v_2 \quad t_3 \Downarrow v_3}{\mathbf{if } t_1 \mathbf{ then } t_2 \mathbf{ else } t_3 \Downarrow v_3}$$

Does the evaluation relation stay the same? Prove or disprove.